



BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.
624 Ninth Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20001

COPY MAILED

SEP 25 2006

In re Application of :
Claisse, et al. : DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 09/960,647 :
Filed: September 24, 2001 :
Docket No.: CLAISSE=2 :

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

This is a decision on the petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b), filed May 10, 2006, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned September 7, 2005 for failure to timely reply to the non-final Office action mailed June 6, 2005. The non-final Office action set a three (3) month shortened statutory period of time for reply. No extensions of time in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 were timely requested. Notice of Abandonment was mailed January 19, 2006.

A grantable petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) must be accompanied by: (1) the required reply to the outstanding Office action or notice, unless previously filed; (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(m); (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) was unintentional; and (4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(c).

The instant petition has been reviewed and found in compliance with the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b). Accordingly, the failure to timely submit a proper reply to the non-final Office action is accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

This application is being forwarded to Technology Center 1700 for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3205.

Alesia M. Brown
Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions